

Karma M. Giulianelli (SBN 184175)  
karma.giulianelli@bartlitbeck.com

**BARTLIT BECK LLP**

1801 Wewetta St., Suite 1200  
Denver, Colorado 80202  
Telephone: (303) 592-3100

Hae Sung Nam (*pro hac vice*)  
hnam@kaplanfox.com

**KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP**

850 Third Avenue  
New York, NY 10022  
Telephone.: (212) 687-1980

*Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In  
re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation*

Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199)  
paul.riehle@faegredrinker.com  
**FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH  
LLP**

Four Embarcadero Center, 27th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
Telephone: (415) 591-7500

Christine A. Varney (*pro hac vice*)  
cvarney@cravath.com  
**CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP**  
825 Eighth Avenue  
New York, New York 10019  
Telephone: (212) 474-1000

*Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc. in Epic  
Games, Inc. v. Google LLC et al.*

Brendan P. Glackin (SBN 199643)  
bglackin@agutah.gov  
**OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY  
GENERAL**  
160 E 300 S, 5th Floor  
PO Box 140872  
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-0872  
Telephone: (801) 366-0260

*Counsel for the Plaintiff States*

Douglas J. Dixon (SBN 275389)  
ddixon@hueston.com  
**HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP**  
620 Newport Center Drive, Suite 1300  
Newport Beach, CA 92660  
Telephone: (949) 229-8640

*Counsel for Plaintiffs Match Group, LLC, et  
al.*

[Additional counsel appear on signature page]

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
**SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

**IN RE GOOGLE PLAY STORE  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION**

Case No. 3:21-md-02981-JD

THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

**PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE REGARDING  
OPEN ISSUES**

*Epic Games Inc. v. Google LLC et al.*,  
Case No. 3:20-cv-05671-JD

Judge: Hon. James Donato

*In re Google Play Consumer Antitrust  
Litigation*, Case No. 3:20-cv-05761-JD

*In re Google Play Developer Antitrust  
Litigation*, Case No. 3:20-cv-05792-JD

*State of Utah et al. v. Google LLC et al.*,  
Case No. 3:21-cv-05227-JD

*Match Group, LLC et al. v. Google LLC et al.*,  
Case No. 3:22-cv-02746-JD

Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc., Consumer Plaintiffs, State Attorneys General Plaintiffs (“Plaintiff States”) and Plaintiffs Match Group LLC et al., (“Plaintiffs” and together with Google, the “Parties”) wish to update the Court on the status of open issues currently pending before the Court, including the Parties’ Joint Proposed Schedule (MDL Dkt. No. 329), the Joint Statement Regarding Google’s Preservation of Instant Messages (MDL Dkt. No. 258) and Epic’s Pending Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party’s Materials Should Be Sealed (MDL Dkt. No. 220). Plaintiffs respectfully request the Court’s rulings on these open issues as soon as practicable.

**1. Joint Proposed Schedule**

At the August 4, 2022 proceedings, the Court discussed case scheduling requests filed by Consumer Plaintiffs, Plaintiff States, and Plaintiffs Match Group LLC et al. In the August 4 Proceedings Minute Entry, the Court stated that it was “inclined to grant a 45-day extension of

all dates” and directed the Parties “to meet and confer, and submit a new proposed schedule.” The Parties met and conferred and jointly proposed a new proposed schedule on August 30, 2022. (MDL Dkt. No. 329.) Because there are several upcoming deadlines in that schedule, including a fact discovery deadline and expert report disclosure deadlines, the Parties will continue to work towards the dates in the proposed schedule while the Court considers the Parties’ proposal.

## **2. Joint Statement Regarding Google’s Preservation of Instant Messages**

In the Minute Entry following the May 12, 2022 Conference, the Court asked the Parties to file a statement regarding Defendants’ alleged destruction of instant messages and to “jointly propose a method of resolution, including a possible evidentiary hearing” and also to include “a proffer from plaintiffs supporting their assertion that Google has engaged in the improper destruction of instant messages”. (MDL Dkt. No. 230.) The Parties filed a Joint Statement Regarding Google’s Preservation of Instant Messages on May 27, 2022, which includes a proposed method of resolution and proffers from Plaintiffs and Defendants. (MDL Dkt. No. 258.)

## **3. Epic’s Pending Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party’s Materials Should Be Sealed**

On April 28, 2022, Epic filed a Notice of Motion and Motion for Preliminary Injunction (MDL Dkt. No. 213) and accompanying Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party’s Materials Should Be Sealed (MDL Dkt. No. 220) (the “Sealing Motion”). On May 24, 2022, Epic and Google jointly emailed the Court requesting guidance as to how to proceed with respect to Epic’s pending Sealing Motion and conveying the parties’ positions.

Dated: September 29, 2022

CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP

Christine Varney (*pro hac vice*)

Katherine B. Forrest (*pro hac vice*)

Gary A. Bornstein (*pro hac vice*)

Timothy G. Cameron (*pro hac vice*)

Yonatan Even (*pro hac vice*)

Lauren A. Moskowitz (*pro hac vice*)

Justin C. Clarke (*pro hac vice*)

M. Brent Byars (*pro hac vice*)

FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP

Paul J. Riehle (SBN 115199)

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Lauren A. Moskowitz

Lauren A. Moskowitz

*Counsel for Plaintiff Epic Games, Inc.*

BARTLIT BECK LLP

Karma M. Giulianelli

KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP

Hae Sung Nam

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Karma M. Giulianelli

Karma M. Giulianelli

*Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Class in In  
re Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation*

PRITZKER LEVINE LLP  
Elizabeth C. Pritzker

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Elizabeth C. Pritzker  
Elizabeth C. Pritzker

*Liaison Counsel for the Proposed Class in In re  
Google Play Consumer Antitrust Litigation*

OFFICE OF THE UTAH ATTORNEY GENERAL  
Brendan P. Glackin  
Lauren Weinstein

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Brendan P. Glackin  
Brendan P. Glackin

*Counsel for the Plaintiff States*

HUESTON HENNIGAN LLP  
Douglas J. Dixon  
Christine Woodin  
Joseph A. Reiter

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Douglas J. Dixon  
Douglas J. Dixon

*Counsel for Plaintiffs Match Group LLC et al.*

**E-FILING ATTESTATION**

I, Sarah G. Boyce, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this document. In compliance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I hereby attest that each of the signatories identified above has concurred in this filing.

/s/ Sarah G. Boyce  
Sarah G. Boyce